

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/814,909	03/30/2004	J. Peter Hoddie	113748-4996US	2889	
			EXAMINER		
PROCOPIO, 530 B STREET	CORY, HARGREAVES	S & SAVITCH LLP			
SUITE 2100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92101				

DATE MAILED: 02/26/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief (37 CFR 41.37)		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/814,909	HODDIE, J. PE	TER			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew Wendell	2618				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence a	address			
The Appeal Brief filed on <u>20 January 2009</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.							
To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file anamended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.							
1.	The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.						
2. 🛛		orief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, eled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).						
4.	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).						
5. 🗌	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))						
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).						
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).						
8. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner <b>and relied upon by appellant in the appeal</b> , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).						
9. 🔲	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).						
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of the	ne above items):					
	<ol> <li>The status of claims fails to provide the status of claim 6.</li> <li>The status of amendments is incorrect; the amendment after final filed 09/15/09 was not entered by the examiner.</li> <li>The status of amendments should state the 09/15/08, was not considered by the examiner.</li> <li>The grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal and argument section fails to list appealed claim 13;also claims 6 and 16 should not be mentioned in either section since both claims have been canceled.</li> </ol>						
		/Timothy Cole/ T.Cole Patent Appeal Specialist					